

### REMARKS

In the Notice of Allowance mailed May 18, 2007, claims 20, 24 and 29-41 were indicated to be allowed. However, claims 1-41 are pending in this application, and were pending at the time of the mailing of the non-final Office Action on August 17, 2006. In the August 17, 2006, Office Action, the sole ground for rejecting the claims was for obviousness type, non-statutory double patenting over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,888,222 and U.S. Patent No. 6,423,095. A terminal disclaimer was submitted in a response to the rejection filed November 14, 2006, and no claims were cancelled in that response. Accordingly, claims 1-41 should have been indicated as allowed. Applicants have included herewith a list of the pending claims 1-41 for the convenience of the Examiner and to facilitate publication of the patent after payment of the issue fee.

In a response filed November 14, 2001, claims 20 and 24 were amended and claims 29-41 were added. Upon entry of the November 14, 2001 amendment, claims 1-41 were pending. At the time the November 14, 2001 response was filed, it is believed that the USPTO rules required only claims that were being amended or added to be listed in the response, and non-amended claims were not to be listed. It appears that the claims indicated as allowed in the Notice of Allowance were identified based on the claims specifically amended or added in the November 14, 2001, response. However, as noted, claims 1-41 were and remain pending, and are allowable based on Applicants response to the August 17, 2006 Office Action.

Accordingly, a corrected Notice of Allowance identifying claims 1-41 as allowed is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Douglas A. Collier

Douglas A. Collier

Reg. No. 43,556

Krieg DeVault LLP

One Indiana Square, Suite 2800

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2079

(317) 238-6333 (direct)